

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,840	10/01/2003	Shawn Beamish	SwBe:RoadM1	9535
26790 7:	590 05/12/2005		EXAM	INER
LAW OFFICE OF KAREN DANA OSTER, LLC PMB 1020 15450 SW BOONES FERRY ROAD #9			ADDIE, RA	YMOND W
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GO, OR 97035		3671	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
Office Action Summany	10/677,840	BEAMISH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
TI MANUA DATE CHI	Raymond W. Addie	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a less of the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply will, by state and the period for reply will, by state and period for reply will, by state and period for reply will, by state and period period for reply will, by state and period for reply will, by state and period for reply will, by state and period for reply will.	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2005.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 12-30</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,13,14,18-21 and 23-27</u> is/are re 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,15-17,22 and 28-30</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. ejected. cted to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				



Application/Control Number: 10/677,840 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 13, 14, 18-21, 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tal et al. # Des. 332,148.

Tal et al. discloses a panel having a mat body comprising:

- 1st and 2nd locking mechanisms disposed at opposing, longitudinal ends of said panel.
- Each locking mechanism further comprising male and female coupling members, disposed horizontally, in tandem, such that each male coupling member is positioned between said opposing ends of a respective mat and the female coupling member. See Figs. 2, 5.
- Wherein said coupling members can be attached to coupling members of adjacent panels.
- Further wherein said locking mechanisms are substantially parallel to said opposed longitudinal ends of said panel, and extend the entire length of said longitudinal ends of said panel.

Art Unit: 3671

Although Tal et al. does not explicitly recite the intended use of the patented panel;

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to use the panel of Tal et al., as a road mat, in order to form a smooth, solid surface for pedestrian and/or vehicle traffic.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 12, 15-17, 22, 29, 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicants' amendment filed 3/15/05, clarifying the structural arrangement of the coupling members; has overcome all rejections of the Last Office Action. However, the amendments to the claims has necessitated a new search of the prior art, which is the basis of the New Grounds of Rejection, out forth above.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim10, 12-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,840

Art Unit: 3671

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Addie whose telephone number is (571) 272-6986. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 7:00 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (571) 272-6998.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Addie Patent Examiner Group 3600

RWA 5/10/2005